	Case 1:20-cv-00457-NONE-HBK Docu	ment 61	Filed 08/25/21	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DONELL THOMAS HAYNIE,	Cas	e No. 1:20-cv-00	457-NONE-HBK	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER SETTING TELEPHONIC TRIAL		
13	V.		CONFIRMATION HEARING ¹		
14	E. LILJOHN, Correctional Officer,	Thu	ırsday, October 2	28, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.	
15	Defendant.				
16					
17	This matter proceeds on Plaintiff Thomas Haynie's complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C.				
18	§ 1983 on October 23, 2018. (Doc. No. 1). The deadline for the parties to move for summary				
19	judgment has passed and a December 8, 2020 settlement conference failed to resolve this matter.				
20	(Doc. No. 52). The Court accordingly now sets a telephonic trial confirmation hearing ("TTCH")				
21	before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd on Thursday October 28, at 9:30 a.m. The parties shall				
22	appear at the TTCH with each party connecting remotely either via Zoom video conference or				
23	Zoom telephone number. The parties will be provided with the Zoom ID and password by Judge				
24	Drozd's Courtroom Deputy prior to the conference. The Zoom ID number and password are				
25	confidential and are not to be shared. Appropriate court attire is required. Counsel for Defendant				
26	is required to contact Plaintiff's institution to arrange for Plaintiff's participation at the TTCH.				
27	Although referred to as "Telephonic," the Court currently anticipates conducting the hearing by Zoom				
28	video.				
					

Case 1:20-cv-00457-NONE-HBK Document 61 Filed 08/25/21 Page 2 of 2

The parties have already been instructed on obtaining witnesses and preparing for trial,
and both parties have filed pretrial statements. (Doc. Nos. 36, 39, 44). Those pretrial statements
remain in effect. If either party wishes to amend their statement they may move to do so at the
TTCH.

District Court Judges of the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California have
among the heaviest caseloads in the nation. The ongoing pandemic has exacerbated the backlog
and it is resultingly unlikely a trial date will be set at the TTCH.

One alternative is for the parties to consent to a United States Magistrate Judge

One alternative is for the parties to consent to a United States Magistrate Judge conducting all proceedings, including trial and entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. The Eastern District Magistrate Judges, all experienced in trial, use the same jury pool and same court facilities as United States District Court Judges. Since Magistrate Judges do not conduct felony trials, they have greater flexibility and schedule firm trial dates. Judgment entered by a United States Magistrate Judge is appealable directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit. While there are scheduling benefits to consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, substantive rulings and decisions will not be affected by whether a party chooses to consent or not. The possibility of consenting will be discussed at the TTCH.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED**:

The parties must appear at a telephonic trial confirmation hearing before the Honorable Dale A. Drozd on Thursday October 28, at 9:30 a.m. Defendant must contact Plaintiff's institution to arrange his attendance at the hearing.

Dated: August 24, 2021 Helina M. Barch

24 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE